



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,995	11/18/2005	Kiyoshi Yagi	Q87740	9113
65565 7590 06/11/2009 SUGHRUE-265550 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVE. NW WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213				
EXAMINER				
NERANGIS, VICKIEY MARIE				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1796				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/11/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

***Attachment to Advisory Action***

Applicants' amendment filed on 6/5/2009 has been fully considered; however, the amendment has not been entered given that it raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Specifically, claim 7 has been amended to be dependent on claim 5 and would necessitate a new grounds of rejection over claim 7. Therefore, the amendment would require further consideration and/or search. Because amendments are not entered in part, applicant's response filed 6/5/2009 has not been entered.

In the interest of better enabling the applicants to assess the patentability of their claims, the following advisory is given:

In response to applicant's request to clarify the reference to JP '570 in paragraph 5 of the Office action mailed on 2/9/2009, "JP '527" was inadvertently written in this paragraph and in each instance should be replaced with "JP '570".

In response to applicant's argument that there is no reason to combine JP '570 and Sham et al because JP '570 fails to disclose fire retardants and Sham et al fails to disclose polyamide fiber, it is the examiner's position that adding a suitable ingredient known to impart a desirable property to a composition would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Case law holds that the selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supports *prima facie* obviousness. *Sinclair & Carroll Co vs. Interchemical Corp.*, 325 US 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1045).

Application/Control Number: 10/532,995

Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

6/11/2009

vn

/Vickey Nerangis/

Examiner, Art Unit 1796